



Senior Rater Potential Evaluation

ACQUISITION SUPPORT CENTER

Al Kinkella



Senior Rater Potential Evaluation

- Background
- Policy/Procedu res
- Form
- WebEnablement



Background

- One year test launched in 1997
- One year test has gone on six years
- Test group was CDGs and board applicants



Complaints Over the Years

- Difficult to understand
- Doesn't compare with the military OER
- Inflated
- Remarks poorly written
- Not a good tool for determining leadership potential



Decision for Revision

- •Survey of senior acquisition personnel
- Not a good tool for measuring potential
 - OER a better tool
 - OER not as inflated
- Civilian Project/Product Managers
 - Current SRPE root cause for low selection rate
 - Boards don't hinderstand SRPE



Current Status

- •SRPE draft guidelines approved 17 July 2003
- Complete instructions, currently in draft, will follow
- Complete automation instructions will follow



New Policy and Procedures

- Requirement for all AAW GS-13 thru GS-15 in FY04
- Annually in consort with performance evaluations
- Senior rater will be your supervisor's performance evaluator unless

your

PREPARING FOR THE FUTURE



Revision

Leadership actions same as the OER

Web-based capability

Will track senior rater profile

Dati	e: October 24, 1997	D. O. J. 1007 I. D. G. D. G.				
		Ratee: Greta D. Chapman SSN:	SSN: 123-45-			
used f			ion Corp	s training a		
		Scoring: I= Maximum 2 = Higher 3 = Average 4 = Margin	ial 5 =	Minimu.		
LEADERSHIP EFFECTIVENESS COMPETENCY DEFINITIONS COMPETENCIES			Score			
1	Oral Communication	Listens to others. Makes clear and effective oral presentations to individuals and groups. (Note: Use of a sign language interpreter may be appropriate for people who are deaf or hard-of-hearing.)		2		
2	Written Communication	Communicates effectively in writing. Reviews and critiques others' writings.		1		
3	Problem Solving	Recognizes and defines problems, analyzes relevant information, and encourages alternative solutions and plans to solve problems.		2		
4	Leadership	Demonstrates and encourages high standards of behavior. Ac leadership style to situations and people. Empowers, motivate and guides others.		2		
5	Interpersonal Skills	Considers and appropriately responds to the needs, feelings, capabilities, and interests of others. Provides feedback and troothers equitably.	eats	2		
6	Self-Direction	Realistically assesses own strengths, weaknesses, and impact others. Seeks feedback from others. Works persistently towar goal. Demonstrates self-confidence, invests in self-developm and manages own time efficiently.	rd a	2		
7	Flexibility	Adapts to changes in the work environment. Effectively cope with stress.	s	1		
8	Decisiveness	Takes action and risks when needed. Makes difficult decisio when necessary.	ns	2		
9	Technical Competence	Demonstrates technical proficiency and understanding of its impact in areas of responsibility		1		

AAC FORM 1A

Step 3 Once the senior rater has calculated the ratee's "average" score and entered it on the Senior Rater Potential Rating Factors Form (15 divided by 9 = 1.7 from the above example rounding up to one decimal place), the senior rater then finds the range within which the employee's score falls from the chart below. Next, the senior rater obtains the value in the "Overall Potential Rating" column from the chart below which corresponds

ARMY ACQUISITION CORPS SENIOR RATER POTENTIAL EVALUATION FOR CIVILIANS PART I. ADMINISTRATIVE DATA EMPLOYEE SERIES AND GRADE EMPLOYEE PAY PLAN EMPLOYEE SSN EMPLOYEE NAME DUTY TITLE ORGANIZATION REASON FOR SUBMISSION RATEE COPY (Check one and date) PERIOD COVERED FROM THRU 1. Annual 1. Given to Employee Year Month Dav Month Day 2. Board 2. Forwarded to Employee SENIOR RATER TITLE/POSITION GRADE NAME OF SENIOR RATER (Last, First, MI) SSN SENIOR RATER E-MAIL ADDRESS SENIOR RATER PHONE NUMBER SENIOR RATER ORGANIZATION SENIOR RATER SIGNATURE DATE DATE EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE PART II. LEADERSHIP ACTIONS (First, mark "YES" or "NO" for each action. Second, choose a total of three actions that best despite the rated employees) COMMUNICATING INFLUENCING Displays good oral, writton and listening skills for individuals / groups Method of DECISION-MAKING Employs sound judgment, logical reasoning and uses resources wisely reaching goals while operating MOTIVATING Inspires, motivates and guides others toward mission accomplishment / improving PLANNING Develops detailed, executable plans that are feasible, acceptable and suitable **OPERATING** EXECUTING Shows technical proficiency, meets mission standards and takes care of Short-term mission people/resources accomplishment ASSESSING Uses after-action and evaluation tools to facilitate consistent improvement DEVELOPING Invests adequate time and effort to develop individual subordinates as leaders **IMPROVING** BUILDING Spends time and resources improving teams, groups and work units; fosters ethical Long-term improvement in climate the Army, its people and LEARNING Seeks self-improvement and organizational growth; envisioning, adapting and organizations leading change PART III. POTENTIAL EVALUATION NOTE: Under the demo project, the senior rater is the rated employee's supervisor's rater. Under TAPES, the senior rater is as noted on the TAPES form. For employees I currently senior rate providing collocated/dedicated matrix support to DA Chartered (Command Select List) PMs employees or PEOs, the senior rater is the PM or PEO supported, regardless of pay plan. in this grade/payband. COMMENT ON POTENTIAL POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH CIVILIANS SENIOR RATED IN THE SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA) ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box) ☐ CENTER OF MASS ☐ BELOW CENTER OF MASS List up to 3 future assignments for which this employee is best suited; this should include education/training opportunities.



Leadership Actions

<u>OLD</u> <u>NEW</u>

 Oral Communications Communicating

• Written Communications Decision

Making

Problem Solving
 Motivating

Leadership Planning

Interpersonal Skills
 Executing

Self Direction Assessing

Flexibility
 Developing

Decisiveness Building

Technical Competence Learning



MOTIVATING

Inspires, motivates and guides others toward mission accomplishment

OPERATING

Short-term mission accomplishment

PLANNING

Develops detailed, executable plans that are feasible, acceptable and suitable



EXECUTING

Shows technical proficiency, meets mission standards and takes care of people/resources

ASSESSING

Uses after-action and evaluation tools to facilitate consistent improvement

IMPROVING

Long-term improvement in the Army, its people and organizations



DEVELOPING

Invests adequate time and effort to develop individual subordinates as leaders

BUILDING

Spends time and resources improving teams, groups and work units; fosters ethical climate

LEARNING

Seeks self-improvement and organizational growth; envisioning, adapting and leading change



Senior Rater Requirements

- •Assess the Leadership actions with a yes/no
- •Check 3 Leadership actions that best describes the individual leadership attributes
- •Annotate the number of employees in the same grade
- Assess the employees potential to

perform

ARMY ACQUISITION CORPS SENIOR RATER POTENTIAL EVALUATION FOR CIVILIANS PART I. ADMINISTRATIVE DATA EMPLOYEE SERIES AND GRADE EMPLOYEE PAY PLAN EMPLOYEE SSN EMPLOYEE NAME DUTY TITLE ORGANIZATION REASON FOR SUBMISSION RATEE COPY (Check one and date) PERIOD COVERED FROM THRU 1. Annual 1. Given to Employee Year Month Dav Month Day 2. Board 2. Forwarded to Employee SENIOR RATER TITLE/POSITION GRADE NAME OF SENIOR RATER (Last, First, MI) SSN SENIOR RATER E-MAIL ADDRESS SENIOR RATER PHONE NUMBER SENIOR RATER ORGANIZATION SENIOR RATER SIGNATURE DATE DATE EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE PART II. LEADERSHIP ACTIONS (First, mark "YES" or "NO" for each action. Second, choose a total of three actions that best despite the rated employees) COMMUNICATING INFLUENCING Displays good oral, writton and listening skills for individuals / groups Method of DECISION-MAKING Employs sound judgment, logical reasoning and uses resources wisely reaching goals while operating MOTIVATING Inspires, motivates and guides others toward mission accomplishment / improving PLANNING Develops detailed, executable plans that are feasible, acceptable and suitable **OPERATING** EXECUTING Shows technical proficiency, meets mission standards and takes care of Short-term mission people/resources accomplishment ASSESSING Uses after-action and evaluation tools to facilitate consistent improvement DEVELOPING Invests adequate time and effort to develop individual subordinates as leaders **IMPROVING** BUILDING Spends time and resources improving teams, groups and work units; fosters ethical Long-term improvement in climate the Army, its people and LEARNING Seeks self-improvement and organizational growth; envisioning, adapting and organizations leading change PART III. POTENTIAL EVALUATION NOTE: Under the demo project, the senior rater is the rated employee's supervisor's rater. Under TAPES, the senior rater is as noted on the TAPES form. For employees I currently senior rate providing collocated/dedicated matrix support to DA Chartered (Command Select List) PMs employees or PEOs, the senior rater is the PM or PEO supported, regardless of pay plan. in this grade/payband. COMMENT ON POTENTIAL POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH CIVILIANS SENIOR RATED IN THE SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA) ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box) ☐ CENTER OF MASS ☐ BELOW CENTER OF MASS List up to 3 future assignments for which this employee is best suited; this should include education/training opportunities.



Web Enablement

- System is complete
- Gives the Senior Rater the Capability to rate employees on line
- Maintains a record of all SRPE evaluations and generates the Senior Rater's profile



Follow On

- Complete instructions for managers
- Complete automation testing
- Train ACMs
- Conclude union negotiations